## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

State of Oklahoma,	) ) 
<b>-4.1.100</b>	) Case No. 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC
Plaintiffs,	)
	) THE CARGILL DEFENDANTS'
VS.	) MOTION TO EXPEDITE
	) CONSIDERATION OF (1) THE
Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.,	) CARGILL DEFENDANTS' MOTION
	) TO COMPEL COMPLETE RESPONSES
Defendants.	) TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS (DKT.
	NO. 1941) AND (2) THE CARGILL
	) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE
	) PLAINTIFFS' NATURAL RESOURCE
	) DAMAGES REPORTS OR TO COMPEL
	) COMPLETE EXPERT DISCLOSURES
	(DKT. NOS. 1938 & 1940)
	)

Defendants Cargill, Inc. and Cargill Turkey Production, LLC ("CTP") (collectively "the Cargill Defendants) respectfully request the Court to expedite consideration of two motions filed within the last several days: (1) The Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Their Discovery Requests (Dkt. No. 1941) and (2) The Cargill Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Natural Resource Damages Reports or to Compel Complete Expert Disclosures (Dkt. Nos. 1938 & 1940).

## 1. The Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel Complete Responses to Their Discovery Requests (Dkt. No. 1941).

As detailed in the integrated memorandum accompanying the Cargill Defendants' motion to compel, the Cargill Defendants' recent discovery requests and demand for supplementation ask Plaintiffs to identify the specific evidence on which Plaintiffs base their individual claims against Cargill, Inc. and CTP, including their claims (for example) that Cargill, Inc. and CTP

have violated specific state regulations, have caused runoff of poultry litter into the Illinois River, and are responsible for certain practices of growers with whom the Cargill Defendants contract. The Cargill Defendants' motion demonstrates that Plaintiffs have failed to provide the vast majority of the Cargill-specific information sought, either in their original responses, in their few supplementations, or in their responses to the Cargill Defendants' most recent discovery requests.

The Cargill Defendants' motion to compel thus raises the same issues concerning the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' production of Cargill-specific evidence that Plaintiffs have already brought before the Court for expedited consideration in their pending Motion for Protective Order. (Dkt. No. 1933: Pls.' Mot.; Dkt. No. 1937: Order granting expedited consideration.) Plaintiffs' motion asks the Court to prevent the Cargill Defendants from taking 30(b)(6) depositions addressing the factual bases for Plaintiffs' individual claims against the Cargill Defendants, arguing that the depositions are unnecessary because Plaintiffs have previously provided the requested Cargill-specific information through other means. The problem, of course, is that Plaintiffs have *not* in fact previously provided the requested information in any form, as the Cargill Defendants' Motion to Compel points out.

Because both Plaintiffs' motion for protective order and the Cargill Defendants' motion to compel address the same crucial issue—the Cargill Defendants' entitlement to discover the evidence supporting the individual claims against them—the Court should consider the two motions together. Only in that way can the Court accurately evaluate Plaintiffs' claim that they have already identified and provided Cargill-specific evidence and the Cargill Defendants' claim that Plaintiffs have not.

Despite the Cargill Defendants' consent to and the Court's Order granting expedited

consideration of Plaintiffs' motion for protective order, Plaintiffs have refused to consent to expedited consideration of the Cargill Defendants' closely related motion to compel.

2. The Cargill Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Natural Damages Reports or to Compel Complete Expert Disclosures (Dkt. Nos. 1938 & 1940).

The Cargill Defendants base this motion to strike on the failure of Plaintiffs' expert report from Stratus Consulting to identify which of its seven authors will testify as to which of the report's topics as required by Rule 26(a)(2). The Cargill Defendants ask the Court either to strike the report or, in the alternative, to direct Plaintiffs to provide individual expert disclosures for each of the seven authors. Because the Court's ruling on this motion will affect whether, when, and in what order Defendants depose these seven authors, and in light of the impending April 16 discovery deadline, the Cargill Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant expedited consideration of their motion.

Date: 30 March 2009

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE, PLLC

By: /s/ John H. Tucker

John H. Tucker, OBA #9110 Theresa Noble Hill, OBA #19119 100 W. Fifth St., Ste. 400 (74103-4287) P.O. Box 21100 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100

Tel: (918) 582-1173 Fax: (918) 592-3390

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP Delmar R. Ehrich Bruce Jones Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901

Tel: (612) 766-7000 Fax: (612) 766-1600

**Attorneys for Defendants Cargill, Inc. and Cargill Turkey Production, LLC** 

I certify that on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General Daniel Lennington, Assistant Attorney General drew\_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us kelly\_burch@oag.state.ok.us trevor\_hammons@oag.state.ok.us Daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov

Melvin David Riggs Joseph P. Lennart Richard T. Garren Sharon K. Weaver Robert Allen Nance Dorothy Sharon Gentry David P. Page driggs@riggsabney.com jlennart@riggsabney.com rgarren@riggsabney.com sweaver@riggsabney.com rnance@riggsabney.com sgentry@riggsabney.com dpage@riggsabney.com

Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis, P.C.

Louis W. Bullock J. Randall Miller Miller Keffer & Bullock Pedigo LLC <u>lbullock@mkblaw.net</u> rmiller@mkblaw.net

William H. Narwold Elizabeth C. Ward Frederick C. Baker Lee M. Heath Elizabeth Claire Xidis Fidelma L Fitzpatrick Motley Rice LLC bnarwold@motleyrice.com lward@motleyrice.com fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com cxidis@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motelyrice.com

## **COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS**

Stephen L. Jantzen Paula M. Buchwald Patrick Michael Ryan Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C. sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com pryan@ryanwhaley.com

Mark D. Hopson Jay Thomas Jorgensen Timothy K. Webster Gordon D. Todd Sidley Austin LLP mhopson@sidley.com jjorgensen@sidley.com twebster@sidley.com gtodd@sidley.com

L Bryan Burns Robert W. George bryan.burs@tyson.com robert.george@tyson.com Michael R. Bond michael.bond@kutakrock.com
Erin W. Thompson erin.thompson@kutakrock.com
Dustin R. Darst dustin.dartst@kutakrock.com
Kutack Rock LLP

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

Jennifer S. Griffin jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
Lawrence W. Zeringue lzeringue@pmrlaw.net
David C .Senger dsenger@pmrlaw.net

Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

Robert E. Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
E. Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Young Williams P.A.

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com Randall E. Rose gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com

The Owens Law Firm, P.C.

James M. Gravesjgraves@bassettlawfirm.comGary V. Weeksgweeks@bassettlawfirm.comWoody Bassettwbassett@bassettlawfirm.comK.C.Dupps Tuckerkctucker@bassettlawfirm.com

Bassett Law Firm

COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrodjelrod@cwlaw.comVicki Bronsonvbronson@cwlaw.comBruce W. Freemanbfreeman@cwlaw.comP. Joshua Wisleyjwisley@cwlaw.com

Conner & Winters, LLLP

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

A. Scott McDaniel <a href="mailto:smcdaniel@mhla-law.com">smcdaniel@mhla-law.com</a>
Nicole M. Longwell <a href="mailto:nlongwell@mhla-law.com">nlongwell@mhla-law.com</a>
Philip D. Hixon <a href="mailto:phixon@mhla-law.com">phixon@mhla-law.com</a>
Craig Mirkes <a href="mailto:cmirkes@mhla-law.com">cmirkes@mhla-law.com</a>

McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell & Acord, PLLC

Sherry P. Bartley <u>sbartley@mwsgw.com</u>

Mitchell Williams Selig Gates & Woodyard COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

Michael D. Graves mgraves@hallestill.com Dale Kenyon Williams, Jr. kwilliams@hallestill.com **COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN POULTRY GROWERS** 

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

Thomas C. Green Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005 COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

s/	John H.	Tucker	